

Agent's reference: 05-473-B

IN THE UNITED STATES RECEIVING OFFICE (RO/US)

In re A	pplication of	of:)			
	Jur	g Hoon LEE et al.)) G	roup Art (Jnit: TB	A
Serial :	No.: 10/	561,068) E	xaminer:	TBA	
Filed:	De	cember 16, 2005)) `			
For:	Channels a	Allocating Reverse Traffic at the Time of Handoff in a CDMA cation System) (A) ()			

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sirs:

In regard to the above-identified application we are transmitting herewith the attached:

- 1) International Preliminary Report on Patentability;
- 2) CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" UNDER 37 CFR § 1.10: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandra, Virginia, 22313-1450, on this 6th day of March, 2006, Express Mail No. EV839411366US.

Respectfully submitted,

By

Robert J. Irwne III Registration No. 41,865

Attorney for the Applicant(s)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

To:

YOON, Jee Hong Hannuri Bldg. 219, Naeja-dong Chongno-gu Seoul 110-053 RÉPUBLIQUE DE CORÉE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

09 February 2006 (09.02.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FE241496

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/KR2004/001882

International filing date (day/month/year) 26 July 2004 (26.07.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)
25 July 2003 (25.07.2003)

Applicant

UTSTARCOM KOREA LIMITED et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)



The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Philippe Becamel

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 70 90

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference FE241496	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/KR2004/001882	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 July 2004 (26.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25 July 2003 (25.07.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant UTSTARCOM KOREA LIMITED					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of	of 4 sheets, including this cove	r sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	This report contains indications re	elating to the following items:					
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion applicability	on with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial				
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application				
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).						
	Date of issuance of this report 30 January 2006 (30.01.2006)						
	The International Bureau of WIPO Authorized officer						
	34, chemin des Col 1211 Geneva 20, Sv	ombettes witzerland	Philippe Becamel				
Facs	Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 90						

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

COPY FOR IB

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY


REC'D	0	1	NOV	2004
WIPO				PCT

From the

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

			_ V411.O P			
To: YOON, Jee Hong		PCT				
Hannuri Bldg. 219 Naeja-dong, Chongno-gu, S Republic of Korea	Seoul 110-053,	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 25	5 OCTOBER 2004 (25.10.2004)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER AC	TION			
FE241496		See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. Intern	national filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date(day/month/year)			
PCT/KR2004/001882 26 J	JULY 2004 (26.	07.2004)	25 JULY 2003 (25.07.2003)			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	h national classifica	tion and IPC				
IPC7 H04B 7/26						
Applicant						
UTStarcom Korea Limited et al						
1. This opinion contains indications relating to	o the following item	ıs:				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion			j			
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of	f opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack of unity of inv	vention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement u citations and explanat			lty, inventive step or industrial applicability;			
Box No. VI Certain documents of	cited					
Box No. VII Certain defects in th	e international appl	ication				
Box No. VIII Certain observations	on the international	application				
 FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 						

Name and mailing address of the ISA/KR



Korean Intellectual Property Office 920 Dunsan-dong, Seo-gu, Daejeon 302-701, Republic of Korea

SHIN, Jun Ho

Authorized officer

Telephone No. 82-42-481-8129



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001882

DO	x No. 1 Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material
	in wirtten format in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additioanl copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:
1	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/KR2004/001882

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1 - 4	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE ,	YES
		Claims	1 - 4	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1 - 4	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US 6577616 B1 (10 June 2003)
D2: WO 03/032671 A1 (17 April 2003)

D1 discloses a radical handoff method for resynchronizing a traffic cell site modem (CSM) to a new traffic and positioning a search window so that a mobile station's energy again falls into the center of the range available to the traffic search window (D1, column 9, lines 6-17). Each access CSM is assigned to respective search windows and has a particular search window size parameter and search start offset parameter (D1, column 5, lines 38-48, in combination with column 6, lines 18-20).

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from that of D1 in that a target base station sets a searching area wider than a reference searching area in view of an error in the round trip delay value. However, said difference is disclosed in D2, wherein a control block searches for a pilot signal by using a search window having a width greater than a search window specification contained in the instruction or the search window used for the soft handoff instruction (D2, abstract). Therefore, the subject matter of claim 1 is considered to lack an inventive step.

The additional features of dependant claims 2-4 are derivable from D1 and D2. Therefore, claims 2-4 are considered to lack an inventive step.